# Computational Complexity of Air Travel Planning 

Carl de Marcken<br>ITA Software<br>carl@itasoftware.com

## Air Travel Planning



## Outline

- Introduction
- Flights
- How airline prices work
- Complexity of travel planning
- Demos
- Seat availability
- Further reading
ita
North American flights
.opyright 2003 ITA Software



## The Flight Network

- 4000 airports served by commercial airlines
- Served by average of 4 airlines, connect to 8 others
- Weighted by \# of departures, 22 airlines, 64 destinations
- Dominated by large airports
- largest 1\% (>4000 flights/day) have 40\% of departures
- largest 10\% (>250 flights/day) have 85\% of departures
- reflects airlines' hub-and-spoke system
- Shortest path averages 3.5 in US, 5 worldwide (uniformly weighted)
- Diameter > 20
- 30,000,000 scheduled commercial flights per year - 1 per second
- 4000 - 10,000 planes in air, mostly large jets
- 700,000 passengers in the air
- $50 \%$ of flights within US and Canada

San Francisco to Boston: 2,000 paths


San Francisco to Boston: 10,000 paths

## Growth rate of \# of paths

- Standard graph algorithms adequate to find one path
- Number of paths grows exponentially with duration or length

_SFO to BOS _ SFO+SJC+OAK to BOS+PVD+MHT
- Can't quickly enumerate all reasonable one-way itıneraries; completely impractical to enumerate all round-trips
- Provably hard to use prices to inform selection
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## Prices

- Almost all the difficulty in travel planning comes from prices
- Fare: price for one-way travel between two cities (a market)


## AA BOS-SFO H14ESNR \$436.28

- Fare has rules restricting its use
- Axioms
- Each flight must be covered (paid for) by exactly one fare
- One fare may cover one or more (usually consecutive) flights
- One or more fares are used to pay for a complete journey
- Fare component (FC) = fare + flights it covers


## Fare components



## \$2000

| Airline | City 1 | City 2 | Basis | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| AA | BOS | SFO | Y | $\$ 1000$ |
| AA | BOS | DFW | QE14 | $\$ 500$ |
| AA | DFW | SFO | K21AP | $\$ 300$ |

## Fare components



## \$1800

| Airline | City 1 | City 2 | Basis | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| AA | BOS | SFO | Y | $\$ 1000$ |
| AA | BOS | DFW | QE14 | $\$ 500$ |
| AA | DFW | SFO | K21AP | $\$ 300$ |

## Priceable Units

- Priceable unit (PU) is a group of 1 to 4 fare components
- restricted to one of several fixed geometries

| one way | round trip | open jaw | open jaw | circle trip 3 | circle trip 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A \longrightarrow B$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \longrightarrow B \\ & A \longleftarrow B \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \longrightarrow B \\ & A \longleftarrow C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \longrightarrow B \\ & C \longleftarrow B \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

- Ticket is built from one or more priceable units
- PU is domain for fare rules such as minimum stay
- "Must be a Saturday night between departure of 1st flight in 1st fare component of PU and departure of 1st flight in last fare component"
- Many cheap ("round trip") fares do not participate in one-way PUs


## Priceable Units

- Fare components may be grouped into priceable units in multiple ways
- Affects the interpretation of fare rules
circle trip PU


14 AP: purchase time to dep. SFO

2 open jaw PUs


14 AP: purchase time to dep. DEN

QE14: 14 days advance purchase, Saturday-night stay
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## Priceable Units

- Fare components may be grouped into priceable units in multiple ways
- Affects the interpretation of fare rules
circle trip PU


14 AP: purchase time to dep. SFO SAT: dep. SFO to dep. DFW

2 open jaw PUs


14 AP: purchase time to dep. DEN SAT: dep. DEN to dep. BOS

Priceable units introduce long-distance flight \& fare dependencies

## Priceable Units

- Flights can be broken into fare components and priceable units in many ways

1 round trip


1 circle trip 4


2 open jaws


4 one ways


1 circle trip 3


1 circle trip 3


## Fare Portfolio

- Airlines offer portfolio of fares at different prices in each market
- From 5 to 500 fares (and more generated by macros)

| BA BOS - LON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| AAP | $£ 5663$ | HDWPXGB1 | $£ 578$ | MLF3CP | $\$ 377$ | R | $£ 6142$ |
| B2 | $\$ 653$ | HDXPXGB1 | $£ 558$ | MLF3IT | $\$ 377$ | VHF4CP | $\$ 502$ |
| DAP | $£ 2951$ | HFWPX2 | $£ 435$ | MLFAM3FP | $\$ 378$ | VHF4IT | $\$ 502$ |
| DXRT | $£ 3318$ | HFWPXGB1 | $£ 517$ | MLFAM3T | $\$ 378$ | VYWAP2 | $£ 357$ |
| F1 | $£ 3469$ | HHWAPUS | $\$ 1063$ | MLWAPUS | $\$ 533$ | VYWAPGB1 | $£ 208$ |
| F1US | $£ 543$ | HHWMTOW | $\$ 577$ | MLWSX7 | $£ 255$ | VYXAP2 | $£ 337$ |
| F2BA | $£ 6608$ | HHWMTOW | $\$ 536$ | MLWSX8 | $£ 225$ | VYXAPGB1 | $£ 208$ |
| HAWPXGB1 | $£ 418$ | HHWPX2 | $£ 610$ | MLWSXGB1 | $£ 268$ | WUS | $\$ 1369$ |
| HAXPXGB1 | $£ 418$ | HHWPXGB1 | $£ 620$ | MLXAPUS | $\$ 473$ | Y | $£ 837$ |
| HBWPXGB1 | $£ 516$ | HHXAPUS | $\$ 1003$ | MLXSX7 | $£ 235$ | Y2 | $£ 407$ |
| HBXPXGB1 | $£ 496$ | HHXMTOW | $\$ 515$ | MLXSX8 | $£ 225$ | YUS | $\$ 1369$ |
| HCWPXGB1 | $£ 437$ | HHXMTOW | $\$ 505$ | MLSXGB1 | $£ 268$ |  |  |
| HCXPXGB1 | $£ 437$ | HHXPX2 | $£ 590$ | MQAPUS | $\$ 803$ | AND 239 MORE... |  |

## Fare Rules

- Fare rules restrict use of each fare
- Passengers
- Age, nationality, occupation, employer, frequent flyer status
- Fare component
- Dates, times, locations, airlines, flights, duration of stops
- Priceable unit
- Types of priceable units (one way, round trip, open jaw, ...)
- Other fares in the priceable unit (airline and basis codes)
- Dates, times, locations, airlines, flights, duration of stops
- Journey
- Fares and flights in other priceable units (airline and basis codes)
- Other priceable unit geometries
- Other
- Purchase location and time


## Sample Fare Rules

AA BOS-SFO H14ESNR \$436.28

| Rule | Details | Restricts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tues or Weds | $1^{\text {st }}$ flight in FC must depart on Tues <br> or Weds | FC flights |
| Surcharges | add $\$ 22.50$ if BOS $\rightarrow$ SFO; <br> add $\$ 20$ if SFO $\rightarrow$ BOS | FC flights |
| 14 days adv purchase | $1^{\text {st flight in PU must depart 14 days }}$ <br> after reservations | PU flights |
| Saturday-night stay | complicated | PU flights |
| Combinability | all fares in PU must be on AA or TW; <br> other restrictions; no OW PUs | PU fares <br> PU geometry |
| Back-to-back | complicated | Other PU geometries |
| And much more | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |



- Rules expressed in extremely complicated and baroque electronic language
- ~1000 parameterized predicates
- Very limited range of logical combinators
- No quantifiers, variables, functions
- Very limited expressive power


## Summary: The Search Problem

- For a travel query, find the best solution
- A set of flights that satisfies the travel query
- A set of fares that covers all the flights exactly once
- A partition of the fares into priceable units
- For each fare, solution must satisfy fare's rules
- Fare rules restrict
- Flights in fare component
- Flights and fares in other fare components of priceable unit
- Priceable unit geometry
- All flights and fares and priceable units in journey (less common)


## Why this mess? Variable pricing

$\forall p, p \cdot \operatorname{demand}(p)<$ Cost of flying plane


- Offer portfolio of fares at different prices
- Prevent the rich (business travelers) from using the cheap fares
- Require advance purchase
- Prohibit one-way priceable units
- Require Saturday night stays
- Prohibit nonstop routes
- Dynamically enable and disable fares according to demand
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## Some Complexity Results

- Single fixed fare, fixed route, variable flights is NP-hard
- Fixed flights, fixed PUs, variable fares is NP-hard
- Fixed flights, fixed fares, variable PUs is NP-hard
- Full search is EXPSPACE-hard (simpler proof)
- Full search is undecidable (more difficult)
- Proofs rely only on fundamental parts of the pricing framework
- All proofs reduce standard problems to travel queries over specially constructed flight and fare databases


## Single fare, fixed route is NP-hard

- One fixed fare, fixed route: only choice is flight number selection
- Reduce 3SAT (m clauses over $k$ variables):

$$
\left(x_{1} \text { or } x_{2} \text { or } \sim x_{3}\right)^{\wedge}\left(x_{2} \text { or } \sim x_{4} \text { or } x_{5}\right)^{\wedge} \ldots^{\wedge}\left(\sim x_{1} \text { or } x_{5} \text { or } \sim x_{k}\right)
$$

Flights:


Fare:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \rightarrow Z \quad \text { Rules }= & \text { If } \sim x_{1} \text { and } \sim x_{2} \text { and } x_{3} \text { then FAIL } \\
& \text { If } \sim x_{2} \text { and } x_{4} \text { and } \sim x_{5} \text { then FAIL } \\
& \ldots \\
& \text { If } x_{1} \text { and } \sim x_{5} \text { and } x_{k} \text { then FAIL } \\
& \text { Else PASS }
\end{aligned}
$$

## sine <br> Fixed flights, variable fares is NP-hard

- Flights are fixed: choice is over fares for each flight
- Reduce k-Color (m vertices)
- Fares can restrict fare basis codes of all other fares in solution

Flights:



REDi rules: for all (i,j) in E, may not be used in solution with REDj

## Full search is EXPSPACE-hard

- Simulate Turing Machine with exponential size tape
- Flight represents a tape cell's contents at a particular time, including head position and state (all encoded into flight number)
- Trip flights from A to B encode entire history of Turing Machine's execution
- Final flight to destination B can only be taken from accept state
$\mathbf{A}$

$\longrightarrow$ | $\$$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\longrightarrow$

## One-step consistency

- Key is that one time step and the next are related by a "regular relation": can be expressed by a finite-state transducer
- \$:\$ (0:0|1:1)* ( $\left.L_{1}: A_{1} Q_{1}: B_{1} R_{1}: C_{1}\left|L_{2}: A_{2} Q_{2}: B_{2} R_{2}: C_{2}\right| \ldots\right)(0: 0 \mid 1: 1)^{*} \$: \$$
- Writing, moving and state transitions expressed by small table of triples
- If we collapse into one sequence of alternating symbols, can be expressed using FSM
- \$\$ (00|11)* ( $\left.\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1}\left|\mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right| \ldots\right)(00 \mid 11)^{*} \$ \$$

$\mathbf{A} \longrightarrow$| $\$$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\longrightarrow$



## Multi-step consistency

- Now one-step transitions are encoded within a time step by FSM flight graph
- To ensure multi-step consistency, need to enforce equality between cells on a diagonal
- Implemented using round-trip priceable-units that enforce same-flight-number restrictions on outbound flight and return flight
- Key issue is ensuring that right cells are paired; implemented using minimum and maximum stay restrictions: min stay $=$ max stay $=$ TAPE-LENGTH * 2-1
- EXP-SPACE limit comes from encoding of min/max stay: $n$ bits encodes $2^{\wedge} n$ length



## Some Details

- Finite-state machine encoding must be of size polynomial in the input, but allow for exponentially many flights
- Electronic flight formats permit one to say "Flight X leaves every day at 5pm"
- Encoding size is thus governed only by representation of input and number of transition triples
- Polynomial in input (TM specification and input tape)
- TM specification bounded at a small number if one encodes a Universal TM and writes the program on the tape
- Minimum connection time (MCTs) tables make it easy to encode FSM
- MCTs are per-airport specifications of whether one can connect between two flights with specified flight numbers, and if one can, minimum time that must be allowed
- Can simulate non-deterministic TMs because their permitted transitions are just as easily encoded using an FSM as deterministic TMs
- Solutions are big
- For EXPSPACE, no limit on size of solution because no limit on \# of steps
- If polynomial limit is placed on solution size, then can simulate polynomial-sized tape for polynomial number of steps: NP-hard
- ITA Software's engine can run a TM over a tape of size 10 to 20 for 10 to 20 steps
- No need to specify input tape: can let system search over all possible input tapes


## Full search is unsolvable

- Air travel planning is unsolvable for certain inputs
- Reduce the Diophantine decision problem

$$
\left\{x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \in Z^{+} \mid P\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right)=0\right\}=\varnothing ?
$$

- Value $x$ represented by $|X|$, the number of $X$ fares in solution
- Example:

$$
a b^{2}-3 b=0
$$

Constrain solution to form $\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{B}^{+} \mathrm{C}^{+} \mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{N}^{+}$, where $|\mathrm{C}|=|\mathrm{B}||\mathrm{B}|,|\mathrm{P}|=|\mathrm{A}||\mathrm{C}|,|\mathrm{N}|=3|\mathrm{~B}|$

- $|\mathrm{P}|$ is sum of positive terms, $|\mathrm{N}|$ is sum of negative terms
- Enforce $|\mathrm{P}|=|\mathrm{N}|$ using round trip priceable units
- Key challenge is enforcing multiplication: $|\mathrm{Z}|=|\mathrm{X}||\mathrm{Y}|$


## Unary multiplication with fares

- Example: 2•3 = $6 \quad(|\mathrm{X}|=2,|\mathrm{Y}|=3,|\mathrm{Z}|=6)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Trip }=\mathbf{S}(\mathrm{OE})^{*}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mid O E^{\prime}\right) \\
& S=(X \vec{A})^{+}(Y \vec{B})^{+} \\
& \mathrm{O}=(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{Z} A})^{+}(\overleftrightarrow{\mathrm{BC}})^{+}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{B} \\
& E=(\overleftrightarrow{Z A})^{+}(\overleftrightarrow{C B})^{\star} \cdot \overleftarrow{C} \\
& O^{\prime}=(Z \overleftarrow{A})^{+} \overleftarrow{B} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\prime}={\overleftarrow{Z^{+}}}^{+} \overleftarrow{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Structure lets "back to back" restriction work around time limits in EXPSPACE-hard proof; details are complicated


## Complexity Review

- Even the most basic subproblems are provably hard
- Proofs reflect the real algorithmic challenges we have experienced
- Complexity proofs are harder than they look
- electronic format for fare rules is complicated but very limited
- Heuristics risky: airlines can change their fare and rule structures instantaneously; sometimes deliberately complicate space
- Order-of-growth is a serious issue:
- 30,000,000 flights in database
- 150,000,000 fares in database
- 10,000 to100,000,000 flight combinations for a round-trip
- 10,000 to100,000,000 fare combinations for each flight combo
- much worse for multiple passengers
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## Turing Machine Simulations

- Actually write code to translate programs into industry-standard fares and rules
- Run on ITA Software's production servers with unmodified code
- What can we handle in practice?
- Non-deterministic Turing Machines
- Search all inputs at once
- With production code settings
- Max tape length with $0 / 1$ alphabet $\sim 20$
- Max execution steps ~20
- Max $\sim 10$ states
- Takes about 1 second to run
- Thus, e.g, small problems in NP
- Standard Shannon/Minsky alphabet/state tradeoff theorems apply


## Right to Left Increment

- Right-to-left boolean increment-by-1 machine is 2-state DTM (DFST)
- Left-to-right boolean increment-by-1 machine is 2-state NTM (NFST)
- Set prices of "1" fares to reflect bit position
- $1.00 \$^{*} 2^{i}$ input tape, $0.01 \$^{*} 2^{i}$ output tape


## Deterministic R-to-L



Non-Deterministic L-to-R


## Graphical Presentation



## Query
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## Incrementer Results



## Multiplication

- Implement multiplication circuits
- Both unary and binary multiplication
- Unary is core of undecidability proof
- Not based on TMs, but just as with TM simulation, round-trip PUs used to encode finite-state transducers
- Multiply: solutions that start with flight sequences "17" and "19"
- Divide: solutions that start with flight sequence "17" and end in flight sequence "323"
- Factor: solutions that end with flight sequence " 323 "


## Binary Multiplication
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## Seat Availability

## How many seats free on AA191 SFO-JFK, April 2 ?

- Airlines use seat availability to adjust prices according to demand
- Every fare is assigned a booking code ( $F, Y, B, H, Q, \ldots$ ), based on price and cabin - usually first letter of fare basis code
- Availability of seat is dependent on booking code purchased

AA H14ESNR \$436, booking code H


F1 Y9 B4 H4 W0 Q0 G0 F0 Y3 B3 H3 W1 Q1 G0

## Availability Dynamics



## O \& D Availability

- To the airline, each seat is a potential part of many products
- different products compete for seat
- query must provide not just flight and cabin, but product context
- trip origin \& destination (O\&D)
- future: frequent flyer number, Swiss bank account \#, etc
- very difficult optimization problem for airline


| UA131 SEA-DEN JUNE 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Trip O | Trip D | Availability |
| SEA | DEN | F3 Y9 W2 Q0 |
| SEA | BOS | F2 Y9 W1 Q0 |
| SEA | MIA | F3 Y9 W5 Q3 |

## Seat Availability

- 1 plane $/ \mathrm{sec} \cdot 150 \mathrm{psgr} /$ plane $\cdot 100 \mathrm{search} / \mathrm{psgr} \cdot 1000 \mathrm{fl} /$ search $=$ 15,000,000 availability questions per second
- airline computers can't support this load
- airline networks can't support this load
- ITA Software uses distributed, scalable cache
- Airline would like to take more features of trip into account
- all flights; all passengers; total price; etc
- would be disastrous for search: too many questions to ask
- No locking: answer is not guaranteed for any period of time
- between search and purchase, availability may have changed


## Further Information

- Unfortunately, this is not an area with a big published literature.
- Large academic and industry literature on optimization problems like setting prices and routes and seat availability
- But no work covers search from a consumer perspective, or covers complexity
- There is no nice problem statement
- The problem is defined mostly by IATA (International Air Transport Association, a cartel of airlines) and ATP (Airline Tariff Publishing Company, manager of electronic fare and rule formats), but they provide no formal specifications
- The problem statement and results I've presented here are mine
- Unpublished and not common knowledge
- Further reading
- Introductory chapters of MS/PhD theses on revenue management
- Other academic/industry literature on revenue management and schedule optimization
- "Hard Landing", by Thomas Petzinger - very colorful history of airlines


## Exercise

Copyright 2003 ITA Software

Software ${ }^{\text {m }}$

$\$ 1717.26$ in US Dollars
1 adult @ $\$ 1717.26$


## Buy it!

Hide booking details Debug solution This ticket is non-refundable.

Changes to this ticket will
incura penaly
tee
incur a penalty fee
Airport maps/services:
BOS: $\frac{\text { Boston Logan }}{\text { DTW: }}$
DTW: Detroit Waa
MSP: MinneapolisSt. Paul
HNL: Honolulu Int'
LAX: Los Angeles Int
PWM: Portland Int'1

avail checked(live): B9 F9 H9 K0 L9 M9 P9 Q9 T9 V9 Y9. pseudo-o\&d

Northwest Airlines Flight NW3468 on an Avro RJ (jet) in coach class
(operated by Mesaba Aviation)
Departs Detroit, MI (DTW)
Arrives Portland, ME (PWM) $\quad$ Wed, Oct $1 \begin{gathered}\text { 8:36p } \\ 10: 27 \mathrm{p}\end{gathered}$
1 adult in cooking code V, covered by fare (B2) below
avail checked(live): B9 F9 H9 K9 L9 M9 P9 Q9 T9 V9 Y9; pseudo-o\&d
ote: The layover in Detroit (DTW) has relatively little room for delays, and for this
route a missed connection would likely be very inconvenient.
Portland, ME to Boston, MA: 1296 miles $\quad 6$ hrs 15 min
Northwest Airlines Flight NW5872 on a Canadair Reg. Jet (jet) in coach class
(operated by Express Airlines)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Tue, Oct } 7 & \begin{array}{c}\text { 6:06a } \\ 8: 19 \mathbf{a}\end{array} & 2 \text { hrs } 13 \mathrm{~min}\end{array}$
1 adult in booking code M, covered by fare (B3) below
avail checked(live): B9 H9 K9 L9 M9 Q9 T9 V9 Y9; strict-local
Layover in Detroit $\quad 2$ hrs 16 min
Northwest Airlines Flight NW336 on a Boeing B-757 (jet) in coach class
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Due, Oct 7 } 7 & \text { 10:35a } & 1 \mathrm{hr} 46 \mathrm{~min}\end{array}$ C $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Departs Detroit, MI (DTW) } & \text { Tue, Oct } 7 & \text { 10:35a } \\ \text { Arives Boston, MA (BOS) }\end{array}$
adult in booking code M, covered by fare (A2) below
avail checked(live): B9 F9 H9 K9 L9 M9 P9 Q9 T9 V9 Y9; strict-local

## Booking details

Buying this ticket online using our website is the easiest and most reliable way to obtain this ticket at
this price. However, if we are unable to sell or you don't want to buy the ticket online the we the to sell or you don't want to buy the ticket online, the 1-800-225-2525, http://www.nwa.com/) or a travel agent. If you use a travel agent to buy this ticket:

- If your travel agent is online and has an e-mail address, e -mail this itinerary to them
- If your travel agent is not online, print out this page and fax/give it to them

It is very important to use the exact same booking codes and fare codes that we've used on this page

| Fare (A1): | NW BOS $=>$ DTT ME7NR fare (round trip fare) | $\$ 250.23$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Tax: US Transportation Tax (US) |  |
|  | (B1): | NW DTT $=>$ HNL OLWETN fare (round trip fare) |
|  | Tax: US Transportation Tax (US) | $\$ 416.77$ |
| Fare (B2): | NW HNL $=>$ PWM VLW7EN fare (round trip fare) | $\$ 14.61$ |
|  | Tax: US Transportation Tax (US) | $\$ 43.43$ |
| Fare (B3): | NW PWM $==>$ DTT METNR fare (round trip fare) | $\$ 17.30$ |
|  | Tax: US Transportation Tax (US) | $\$ 228.37$ |
| Fare (A2): | NW DTT $=>$ BOS ME7NR fare (round trip fare) | $\$ 17.13$ |
|  | Tax: US Transportation Tax (US) | $\$ 250.23$ |
| Tax: | US Alaska/Hawaii Departure Tax (US) | $\$ 18.77$ |
| Tax: | US Flight Segment Tax (ZP) | $\$ 13.40$ |
| Tax: | US Passenger Facility Charge (XF) | $\$ 24.00$ |
|  |  | $\$ 15.00$ |

Total for 1 adult passenger:
$\$ 1717.26$ calc.
are calc. September 3, 2003 2:45am; fares loaded Tuesday, September 2, 2003 8:33pm) BOS NW DTT Q9.30 240.93ME7NR NW X/MSP NW HNL Q9.30 406.72QLWE7N NW LAX
S55.81 NW X/DTT NW PWM O9.30 368.32VLW7EN NW DTT Q9 3021907 OnE7NR NW BO Q9.30 240.93ME7NR USD 1578.28 END SITI XT 99.98US ZP 24.00DTW XF 15.00DTW Priceable units:

Fares A1, A2: round trip
Fares B1, B2, B3: circle trip
POWERED BY Ita) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Legal notice: Search results provided are the property of ITA Software, Inc. } \\ & \text { and may be protected by trademark, copyright patent and other laws. Any } \\ & \text { une or the search results is governed by our Terms of Use Policy and } \\ & \text { End-User License. (c) 1999-2003 ITA Softiware, Inc. }\end{aligned}$

This is a solution as displayed on the ITA Software web site, one of $2,197,704,882,975,408$ the ITA Software search engine found for a BOS-HNL-LAX-PWM-BOS circle query, with one-day departure windows for each part of the trip

- How much of this output can you understand now?
- Draw the trip with fares, priceable units and booking codes

